



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,293	04/01/2004	Paul Stark	33976.00003	6126
80880	7590	03/24/2010		
Fox Rothschild LLP Circ Pharma Limited 997 Lenox Drive, Bldg. #3 Lawrenceville, NJ 08648			EXAMINER PALENIK, JEFFREY T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2010 PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10814293	4/1/2004	STARK ET AL.	33976.00003

Fox Rothschild LLP
Circ Pharma Limited
997 Lenox Drive, Bldg. #3
Lawrenceville, NJ 08648

EXAMINER

Jeffrey T.. Palenik

ART UNIT	PAPER
1615	20100318

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Corrected Grounds of Rejection:

Claims 1-9 and 15-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noda et al. (U.S. Patent 5,137,733) in view of Oshlack et al. (U.S. Patent 5,580,578).

Claims 1-9 and 15-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Appellants regard as the invention.

Consideration of the Amendment filed After Final on 27 July 2009 has been given per the Advisory Action mailed 25 February 2010. The amendment, which is indicated as having been entered, cancels claims 10-14 as indicated in the Examiner's Answer (see sections 3 and 4). However, the Advisory Action does not appear in the electronic record, despite having been approved. To immediately remedy this issue, the Examiner has attached a copy of the claims filed 27 July 2009 further indicating that they are "Okay to Enter".

/Jeffrey T. Palenik/
Examiner, Art Unit 1615

/Carlos A. Azpuru/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1615